IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 10/520,122

Confirmation No.: 9690

Filing Date: January 12, 2006

Applicant: Jindrich VOSAHLO

Group Art Unit: 2854

Examiner: David H. Banh

Title: PRINTING WITH INK

Attorney Docket: 5724T-000006/NP

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICANT'S INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Sir:

The purpose of this correspondence is to memorialize the substance of the telephonic interview with Examiner David Banh and Applicant's representatives, G. Gregory Schivley and Phil Du on December 22, 2010.

During the interview, Applicant argued that none of the cited references were directed to the same problems solved by Applicant by way of this invention. As set forth in paragraph [0009] of Applicant's published specification, in inkjet printing where one pass of droplets is used, there is a tendency for the ink to form ridges when they are exposed to curing radiation. These balls or ridges can produce undesireable glints on

the final printing surface.

The claims are all limited to inkjet printing. However, the primary reference to

Sachs is directed to a totally different field of endeavor, e.g., roll coating, spraying, dip

coating, and the like. In such processes, there are no individual droplets deposited on

the substrate as in inkjet printing. Consequently, Sachs is completely devoid of any

discovery of the problem solved by Applicant's invention, let alone a solution to the

problem as claimed herein.

The secondary reference to Cleary fails to remedy the deficiencies of Sachs.

While Cleary is related to inkiet printing, there is no disclosure of using multiple passes

and partially curing the ink during the first pass so that the "layer of ink adjacent the

substrate has a viscosity greater than the viscosity of an exposed surface of the ink" as

called for, for example, in independent claim 29.

While no agreement was reached, the Examiner did indicate that he would confer

with his Supervisors with the goal of advancing prosecution of this application. In any

event, the Examiner indicated that he would call Applicant's undersigned representative

before issuing the next Office Action.

Favorable consideration of this application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/G. Gregory Schivley/</u>
G. Gregory Schivley
Reg. No. 27,382

Dated: December 23, 2010

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.

P.O. Box 828

Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1600

GGS/nrk

15834578.1

Serial No. 10/520.122

Page 2 of 2